

Ethanol production from native yeast isolated from local cultivars of *Musa sp*.

Alka* and G.D. Sharma

Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Life Science and Bioinformatics, H.K. School of Life Sciences, Assam University, Silchar – 788 011, India.

Abstract

Ripe banana fruit is used to isolate and identify native yeasts from the different cultivars of *Musa sp.* such as Champa kola, Malbhog/Sapri kola, Saiel kola, Geera kola and Jahaji kola which can be utilized for the preparation of beverages yeast. Highest mean counts of yeast were 56.3×10^3 CFU/mL in the Champa kola of *Musa sp.* The total 44 yeast strains were identified, comprising ascomycetes and basidiomycetous with the most frequent being *Candida sp., Meyerozyma quilliermondii, Pichia quillerimondii* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* whereas *Cryptococcus sp.* was the most frequent yeast of basidiomycetous to be associated with the ripen banana pulp. The isolates were found potential to produce alcohol. Of the 28 isolates were fermented for 4 days in YEPD broth containing 400 g/L sucrose and 0.67 g/L yeast nutrient solutions. The alcohol content was measured in percent of the total volume. 12 yeast strains showed ethanol production ability. Two yeast strains *Phichia sp* and *Saccharomyces cerevisae*, was found to produce the most alcohol during the 3 day period (3.76% v/v,) whereas *Saccharomyces cerevisae* produced the least alcohol (1.31% v/v).

Key Words: Ethanol, Fermentation, Musa cultivars, Yeast strains

INTRODUCTION

Bananas (*Musa sp*) are major starch staple crops in the developing world. It is consumed as an energy yielding food and as a dessert. Bananas are consumed in ripe stage when it is yellow. The ripe fruits are processed into fermented or unfermented drinks and unripe ones are consumed as vegetable. Banana fruit is a rich source of nutrients and active compounds such as vitamin A, vitamin C, vitamin E, β -carotene, Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium, Magnesium, Iron and Manganese. Fruits contain various antioxidants compounds such as gallocatechin (Someya *et al.*, 2002) and dopamine (Kanazawa and Sakakibara, 2000) which elevate blood pressure and stimulate the smooth muscle of the intestine.

From several centuries, yeast has been used in the production of food and alcoholic beverages, and today these organisms were used in a number of different processes in the industries. Fermentation is carried out in presence of various types of yeast. The yeast strains play a vital role in production of ethanol. Yeast including *S. cerevisiae* is a very attractive organism to work with since it is nonpathogenic, and due to its long history of application in the production of consumable products such as ethanol and baker's yeast, it has been classified as a GRAS organism (generally regarded as safe). Species not belonging to the *Saccharomyces* genus (non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts) introduce a certain dimension of ecological and biochemical diversity into winemaking presumably, this diversity is transferred to the organoleptic quality of wines (Fleet., 1993; Egli *et al.*, 1998;

Received: July 14, 2012; Revised: Sept 27, 2012; Accepted: Oct 02, 2012.

*Corresponding Author:

Alka

Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Life Science and Bioinformatics, H.K. School of Life Sciences, Assam University, Silchar – 788 011, India.

Tel: +91-3842270823, Fax: +91-3842-270802 Email: alka.aus@gmail.com Ferna ndez *et al.*, 1999). Deactivated yeast, usually *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are rich source of protein and vitamins, especially the B-complex vitamins, minerals and cofactors required for growth (Centina-Sauri and Sierra Basto, 1994).

Yeasts isolated from palm wine for industrial production of ethanol (Layokun, 1984), for single cell protein (Amachukwu et al., 1986), for leaving of dough for bread-making (Oakagbue, 1988) and for wine production (Osho and Odunfa, 1999). Many species of yeast are associated with fermentation of carbohydrates, flavour and quality by the production and excretion of metabolites during their growth and autolysis (Fleet, 1993, 2001, 2003; Lambrechts and Pretorius, 2000; Swiegers and Pretorius, 2005; Swiegers et al., 2005). These microorganism, are isolated from many fruits and other products for their desirable characteristics product with pleasant flavours. Thus the objective of this work was to isolate, veast from different cultivars of Musa sp. and characterized in terms of its biochemical properties in order to obtain more information about the indigenous flora, and to select yeasts for ethanol production which is a prerequisite for biotechnological applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Over ripe fruits of Champa kola (CK), Malbhog/Sapri kola (MK), Saiel kola (SK), Geera kola (GK) and Jahaji kola (JK) with large black spots of banana were collected from cultivated farm market of Silchar of Cachar district of South Assam. Bananas were collected aseptically in sterile polythene bags kept in a carton box, and transported to the Microbiology Laboratory of Assam University Silchar for further analyses.

Isolation of yeast isolates

10 g of fruit pulp of banana was mashed with 90 ml sterile distilled water. The puree was mixed thoroughly on a rotary shaker for 30 min and was shaken gently before use. This puree was

serially diluted upto 10^{-5} times. Serial dilutions of the puree were made using one mililiter of the juice. Aliquots one mililitre of the dilution were plated on the yeast extract-malt extract agar (HiMedia M424,India) media, 0.5 g/L of streptomycin sulphate powder was incorporated as antibiotics to prevent bacterial growth. Control plating was carried out using sterile peptone water. The petriplates were incubated at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in the incubator for 72hrs (Ameh *et al.*, 1989). The colonies growing on these plates were counted to estimate the yeast population (CFU/mL). Individual colonies were picked up and transfer on fresh agar plates and pure cultures were transferred to YPD slants for 1 week and then recultured into fresh medium.

Identification and characterization of yeast isolates

The yeast isolates were identified using cultural and morphological characters. Identification procedures were done according to standard methods described in Barnett *et al.*, (1990). The physiological and biochemical test like assimilation of some nitrogen compound, cycloheximide resistance, Urea hydrolysis, nitrate utilization, sugar utilizing test, were carried, vegetative structures were observed using single stain or counter staining (Gilman, 1971). Species names followed by the suffix -like indicate that the organism is similar to this species but is sufficiently different to be considered a probable new species

Screening of yeast for alcohol producers

The strains were examined for their tolerance to ethanol by culturing them on YPD agar plates containing 10% alcohol. Those strains that could grow on the alcohol plates were screened further by culturing them in YPD broth containing 10% ethanol and potassium meta-bisulphate (0.2%). Samples were withdrawn after 24, 48 and 72 hr and the growth data was analysed by spectrophotometer at 660 nm.

Estimation of alcohol

A method based on that Caputi *et al.*, (1968), was performed to estimate the alcohol percentage. Sample (2 mL) was taken in 50mL distilled water in a distillation flask and was distilled at 65°C. 15 mL of the distillate was collected in a conical flask and chromic acid (25 mL) was added. Volume made up to 50 mL with distilled water. The conical flasks were incubated at 60°C on a water bath for 30 minutes. The solutions were brought to room temperature and the optical density was read at 600 nm spectrophotometrically. The alcohol percentages of the samples were calculated using the standard graph produced using absolute alcohol in the range of 0-12% and subjected to the same conditions of distillation and estimation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation, Identification and characterization of yeast isolates

The pH of the banana samples was found to be around 4.5-5.0 which are slightly acidic in nature due to high organic acid content (Bharti Vyas *et al.*, 2007) as shown in Fig. 1. It was observed that in summer season, the highest pH was recorded in JK cultivar and lowest in MK. In Monsoon season, the highest pH was recorded in JK cultivar and lowest in MK whereas in winter season, the highest pH was recorded in JK cultivar and lowest in CK during whole year.



Fig 1. pH of Musa Cultivars

The moisture content of a fresh fruit is related to its dry matter content and therefore the loss of moisture in April was 78% in SK was much lesser compared to others cultivars. In Monsoon, highest moisture loss occurred in MK (Fig. 2).



Fig 2. Moisture loss in pulp during drying

At the end of drying period 1-3 g dry material was obtained. This shows that among these cultivars of banana JK and GK has highest moisture content followed by CK, SK and MK in winter season.



Fig.3. Seasonal effect on CFUof Musa cultivars

Figure 3 shows that the total yeast counts were in the range of 7 \times 10³ to 68 \times 10³. Highest yeast count on YPD agar plates was observed in CK 56.3 \times 10³ CFU/mL in winter season whereas the lowest yeast count was in observed in monsoon in SK cultivars 7 \times 10³ CFU/mL. The isolations made in winter season 0.56% (CK), 8.36% (MK), 2.11% (SK), 3.58% (GK) and 3.74% (JK) in rainy season 0.95% (CK), 7.13% (MK), 5.08% (SK), 5.71% (GK) and 3.21% (JK) yeast fungi where as in summer season, 0.93% (CK), 2.81% (MK), 1.83% (SK), 2.22% (GK) and 2.62% (JK) including

No		Characteristics		
1		Cell morphology	Ellipsoidal	Oval
2		Cell size	I=4.8; b=2.1	l=14.3: b=4.8
3		Mycelium	True, pseudo	Pseudo
4		Ascospore	Globose	Hat shaped
5		Growth at 37°C	+	+
6		Nitrate reduction	-	-
7	Sugar ferment	Glucose	+	+
8	-	Galactose	+	+
9		Lactose	-	-
10		Maltose	+	+
11		Raffinose	+	+
12		Sucrose	+	+
13		Starch	+	-
14		Trehalose	-	-
15	Sugar Assimilated	Arabinose	-	+
16	-	Celliobiose	-	+
17		Galactose	+	+
18		Glycerol	+	+
19		Inositol	-	-
20		Lactose	-	+
21		Maltose	+	+
22		Mellibiose	+	-
23		Mannitol	-	+
24		Raffinose	+	+
25		Rhamnose	-	-
26		Sucrose	+	+
27		Starch	+	+
28		Trehalose	+	+
29		Xylose	-	-
		Identify	YC-5	YC-9
		-	(S.cerevisiae)	(Pichia sp.)

Table 1. Biochemical properties of yeast strains showing highest ethanol yield

C.glabrata, Debaryomyces hensenii, and *C.latennulate* which was not detected in rainy and winter season in another cultivars (Fig. 4) . A similar dominance was reported by Davenport (1976) and Blake man (1985) in apple, grapes and on the phylloplane of broad beans.

Of 97 yeast isolates 58 were identified into 10 genera and 44 were identified into 38 species with *Pichia*, *Candida sp* and *Saccharomycopsis* being the most frequently encountered genera. The yeast species identified were *Candida ciferrii* (2), *C. glabrata* (3), *C. catenulata* (1), *C. lunata* (1), *Saccharomyces cerevisae* (8), *Torulopsis sp* (1), *Candida parapsilosis* (8), *C. fukuyamaensis* (1), *C. albicans* (3), *Cryptococcus sp* (1), *Debaryomyces hensenii* (2,) *Pichia quilliermondii* (6),*Rodoturula rubra* (1).



Fig.4 Occurrence percentage of yeasts species of Musa cultivars.

Winter season supported the greatest diversity 61.88% and the most frequent veast included Saccharomyces cerevisae and Debaryomyces hensenii, the least number of yeast supporeted in summer season including *C.lunata*, *Collectrichum* sp and *Torulopsis* sp. The yeast fungi from the cultivars more than 10% occurrence and above were S.cerevisae, and Rodoturula rubra while the yeast component with less than 10% occurrence was Candida catenulate. Candida ciferrii, Candida parapsilosis, C. fukuyamaeensis, C. albicans, and Pichia guilliermondii. The yeast fungi were isolated from the pulp of bananas shows a close observation with the mycoflora isolated from black plum (Okigbo, 2001). It was shown that the frequency of occurrence of Saccharomyces cerevisae and Pichia quilliermondii were found to be higher as compare to C. catenulate, C. glabrata, Debaryomyces hensenii, and Torulopsis sp. The others diversity is decreased due to Saccharomyces sp attain high occurrence (frquency), this high numbers signifies dominance of a single species. This could be attributed to enzymatic breakdown of starch to sugars by the fungi (Ogundero, 1978). Species of yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisae and Candida sp.) were found in our study matched those of Ethiraj and Suresh (1988) on mangoes.

Yeast for ethanol tolerance

A total of 12 yeast strains were selected based on their ethanol tolerance, estimating their growth in presence of exogenously added ethanol (10% v/v) to YEPD media (Fig. 5 - a;b;c).



Fig 5. (a), (b), (c) Growth patterns of selected yeasts in presence of ethanol

Two of the twelve isolates were able to grow in 10% (v/v) ethanol concentration and above. In Fig 6. S5 showed less tolerance to ethanol than the other species of the *S. cerevisiae*. All the two strains namely YC-5 (NCBI Accession no: JN093146) and YC-9, of the *Pichia sp.* showing highest alcohol percentage. Yeast species that produce low concentration of ethyl alcohol might have another role in contribution of desirable flavor of the products.



Fig 6. Alcohol production from selected yeasts

Mazimum alcohol producing strain were biochemically charecterized which shown in Table 1. Strain isolated from Malbhog/Sapri kola it was revealed that their is variation in alcohol percentage was 3.76 and 1.31 respectively. The highest growth rate was shown by the strain S-3. The least alcohol percentage was found in S4.

CONCLUSION

The results in this study suggest that the yeasts are associated with the ripened banana pulp, almost all have been isolated previously from soil, on fruits and trees (Kurtzman and Fell, 1998) and all are with good fermentation attributes and producing alcohol. In Assam banana are available in abundance as a raw material for isolation of ethanol tolerant yeast. Two yeast strains namely YC-5 and YC-9 were isolated from Sapri/Malbhog kola (*Musa sp.*). Although *S. cerevisiae* and *Pichia* ethanol yield is low, but the strains could be genetically manipulated for higher ethanol yield.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship for awarding the research grant to complete the work.

REFERENCES

- Amanchukwu, S.C., Okpokwasili, G.C., and Obafemi, A. 1986. Evaluation of Schzossacharomyces *pombe* isolated from palm wine for single cell protein using hydrocarbon feedstock. Abstracts 14th Annual Conference, Nigerian Society for Microbiology pp. 7-8. University of Calabar, Nigeria.
- [2] Ameh, J.B., Okagbue, R.N., and Ahman A.A. 1989. Isolation and characterization of local yeast strains for ethanol production. Niger. *Journal of Technology Research*. (1): 47-52.
- [3] Barnett J.A, Payne R.W, and Yarrow D. 1983. Yeasts: Characteristics and Identification. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, p. 19-28
- [4] Bharti Vyas and Suzanne Le Quesne. 2007. The pH Balance Diet Restore Your Acid-Alkaline Levels to Eliminate Toxins and Lose Weight. Ulysses Press. Berkley. Canada .p.129.
- [5] Blakeman J.P. 1985. Ecological succession of leaf surface microorganism in relation to biological control In: C.E. Windels and S. Lindow (Editors), Biological Control on the Phylloplane. APS Press, St. Paul, M.N. p. 6-30.
- [6] Capauti A., Veda J.M., and Brown T. 1968. Spectrophotometric determination of chronic complex formed during oxidation of alcohol. *Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 19, 160-165.
- [7] Centina-Sauri G., and Sierra Basto G. 1994. "Therapeutic evaluation of *Saccharomyces boulardii* in children with acute diarrhoea". *Annals of Pediatrics* 41: 397–400.
- [8] Davenport R.R. 1976. The microflora on the surface of soft fruit. In: C.H. Dickinson and T.F. Preece (Editors) *Microbiology of Aerial Plant surfaces*. Academic Press, London, p. 419-432.
- [9] Egli C.M., Edinger W.D., Mitrakul C.M. and Henick-Kling T. 1998. Dynamics of indigenous and inoculated yeast populations and

their effect on the sensory character of Riesling and Chardonnay wines. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 85, 779–789.

- [10] Ethiraj S., and Suresh E.R. 1988. Studies on the microorganism associated during processing of mango. Acta Horticulture., 231: 731-735.
- [11] Ferna ndez, M.T., Ubeda J.F., and Briones A.I. 1999. Comparative study of non-Saccharomyces microflora of musts in fermentation, by physiological and molecular methods. FEMS Microbiology Letters 173, 223–229.
- [12] Fleet G H., and Praphailong W. 2001. Yeasts, In: Spoilage of Processed Foods: Causes and Diagnosis, AIFST, Southwood Press. p. 383–97.
- [13] Fleet G.H. 1993. The microorganisms of winemaking isolation, enumeration and identification. In: Fleet, G.H. (ed). *Wine Microbiology and Biotechnology*. Harwood Academic Publishers, Switzerland. p. 1-25.
- [14] Fleet G.H. 2003. Yeast interactions and wine flavour (review article). International Journal of Food Microbiology. 86, 11-22.
- [15] Gilman. 1971. A Manual of Soil Fungi. 2nd Ed. Ames, Lowa: Lowa State College Press; 1971. p. 450.
- [16] Kanazawa K., and Sakakibara H. 2000. High content of dopamine, a strong antioxidant, in Cavendish banana *Journal* of Agriculture and Food Chemistry. 48(3):844-8.
- [17] Kurtzman C.P., and Fell J.W. (Eds.), 1998. The Yeasts a Taxonomic Study, 4th Edition. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p. 1055.
- [18] Lambrechts M.G., and Pretorius I.S. 2000. Yeast and its

importance to wine aroma – A Review. South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture. 21, 97-129.

- [19] Layokun S.K. 1984. Use of the palm wine cultures for ethanol production from black strap molasses with particular reference to conditions in the tropics. *Proc. Biochem.* 19: 180-182.
- [20] Ogundero V.W. 1978. PhD Thesis, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- [21] Okagbue R.N. 1988. A note on the leavening activity of yeasts isolated from Nigerian palm wine. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*. 64: 235-240.
- [22] Okigbo R.N. 2001. Mycoflora within Black Plum (Vitex doniana) fruits, Fruits, 56(2): 85-92.
- [23] Osho A, and Odunfa S.A. 1999. Fermentation of cashew juice using the wine yeast strain NCYC 125 and three other isolated yeast strains. Advance Food Science. 21(1/2): 22-27.
- [24] Someya S., Yoshiki Y., and Okubo K. 2002. Antioxidant compounds from bananas (*Musa Cavendish*). Food Chemistry 79, 351–354.
- [25] Swiegers J.H., and Pretorius I.S. 2005. Yeast modulation of wine flavour. Advance Applied Microbiology. 57, 131-175.
- [26] Swiegers J.H., Bartowsky E.J., Henschke P.A. and Pretorius I.S. 2005. Yeast and bacterial modulation of wine aroma and flavour. Australian Journal of Grape Wine Research. 11, 139-173.